

## TD 10: Digital Signature

---

**Exercise 0.** [*Homework discussion*]

**Exercise 1.** [*RO does not exist*]

In this exercise we show a scheme that can be proven secure in the random oracle model, but is insecure when the random oracle model is instantiated with SHA-1 (or any fixed hash function). Let  $\Pi$  be an encryption scheme that is secure in the standard model.

Construct a signature scheme  $\Pi_y$  where the signing is carried out as follows: if  $H(0) = y$  then output the secret key, if  $H(0) \neq y$  then return a signature computed using  $\Pi$ .

1. Prove that for any value  $y$ , the scheme  $\Pi_y$  is secure in the random oracle model.
2. Show that there exists a particular  $y$  for which  $\Pi_y$  is insecure when the random oracle model is instantiated with SHA-1.

**Exercise 2.** [*Using collision resistant hashing for digital signature*]

Recall the definition of a digital signature scheme  $\mathcal{S} = (G, S, V)$  given in the course. We present a similar approach as for MACs, that is called **hash-and-sign paradigm**. Let  $H : \mathcal{M}' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  be a hash function where  $|\mathcal{M}'| \gg |\mathcal{M}|$ . Define a new signature scheme  $\mathcal{S}' = (G', S', V')$  for the message space  $\mathcal{M}'$ , as:

$$S'(sk, m) := S(sk, H(m)) \quad \text{and} \quad V'(pk, m, \tau) := V(pk, H(m), \tau)$$

Show that the signature scheme  $\mathcal{S}'$  is secure provided  $\mathcal{S}$  is a secure signature scheme, and the hash function  $H$  is collision-resistant.

**Exercise 3.** [*Full domain hash signature scheme*]

Recall the definition of **full domain hash**  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{FDH}}$  given in the course. This scheme can be proven to be secure in ROM as long as it uses a secure trapdoor permutation scheme  $\mathcal{T}$ . Consider a modified scheme, where the scheme does not apply the hash function  $H$  to the message, i.e., we define the signature on message  $m \in \mathcal{X}$  as  $\tau := I(sk, m)$ . Could this modified scheme be secure?

**Exercise 4.** [*Secure pairing-based signature in the ROM*]

In this exercise, we assume we have two cyclic groups  $G$  and  $G_T$  of the same cardinality  $q$ , and a generator  $g$  of  $G$ . We also assume we have a pairing function  $e : G \times G \rightarrow G_T$ , with the following properties: It is non-degenerate, i.e.,  $e(g, g) \neq 1$ ; It is bilinear, i.e.,  $e(g^a, g^b) = e(g, g)^{ab}$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ ; It is computable in polynomial-time. Note that the bilinearity property implies that  $e(g^a, g) = e(g, g^a) = e(g, g)^a$  holds for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ .

1. Show that the Decision Diffie-Hellman problem (DDH) on  $G$  can be solved in polynomial-time.
2. We consider the following signature scheme (due to Boneh, Lynn and Shacham):
  - KeyGen takes as inputs a security parameter and returns  $G, g, q, G_T$  and a description of  $e : G \times G \rightarrow G_T$  satisfying the properties above. All these are made publicly available. Sample  $x$  uniformly in  $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ . The verification key is  $vk = g^x$ , whereas the signing key is  $sk = x$ .

- **Sign** takes as inputs  $sk$  and a message  $M \in \{0, 1\}^*$ . It computes  $h = H(M) \in G$  where  $H$  is a hash function, and returns  $\sigma = h^x$ .
- **Verify** takes as inputs the verification key  $vk = g^x$ , a message  $M$  and a signature  $\sigma$ , and returns 1 if and only if  $e(\sigma, g) = e(H(M), vk)$ .

Show that this signature scheme is EU-CMA secure (same definition as in the course) under the Computational Diffie Hellman assumption (CDH) relative to  $G$ , when  $H(\cdot)$  is modeled as a (full-domain hash) random oracle.

**Remark.** CDH assumption: given a cyclic group  $G$  of order  $q$ ,  $(g, g^a, g^b)$  for randomly chosen generator  $g$  and  $a, b \leftarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ , it is "hard" to compute  $g^{ab}$ .