

## TD5 - Duality

*Indication of hardness: from (\*) to (\*\*\*\*).*

### 1 Simplex algorithm (again)

**Exercise 1 - One cannot improve the solution. (\*\*)**

- Given an example of tableau of LP where the algorithm cannot improve the current solution for any possible choice of pivot with respect to the current solution.
- Give a geometrical example (on two dimensions) where the same condition is satisfied.

**Exercise 2 - A last simplex algorithm (\*\*)**

Use the Simplex Algorithm to show that the following problem is unbounded:

$$\begin{aligned} \max z &= -x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \\ \text{subject to:} \\ 3x_1 + x_2 - 4x_3 &\leq 4 \\ x_1 - x_2 - x_3 &\leq 10 \\ x_1 - 2x_2 + 6x_3 &\leq 9 \\ x_1, x_2, x_3 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

### 2 Duality

**Exercise 3 - Computing duals (\*)**

Give the dual of the following LPs:

$\begin{aligned} \max 2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 \\ \text{subject to} \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &\leq 40 \\ 2x_1 + x_2 - x_3 &\geq 10 \\ -x_2 + x_3 &\geq 10 \\ x_1, x_2, x_3 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} \max 1000x_1 + 1200x_2 \\ \text{subject to} \\ 10x_1 + 5x_2 &\leq 200 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 &= 60 \\ x_1 &\leq 12 \\ x_2 &\geq 6 \\ x_1, x_2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$
--	---

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \max x_1 + 5x_2 - x_3 & \max x_1 \\
 \text{subject to} & \text{subject to} \\
 x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3 = 12 & -5x_1 + 3x_2 = 200 \\
 x_1 - x_3 \leq 5 & 11x_1 + 3x_2 = 60 \\
 x_2 - 5x_3 \geq 1 & x_2 \geq 6 \\
 x_1 \geq 0 & x_1 \geq 0
 \end{array}$$

**Exercise 4 - Determining the dual (\*)**

- (a) Show that the dual of a non-positive variable is a  $\leq$  constraint.  
 (b) Show that the dual of a free variable is an equality constraint.  
 (c) Show that the dual of a  $\geq$  constraint is a non positive variable.

**Exercise 5 - Unboundedness and feasibility (\*)**

- (a) Find a linear program (P) such that both (P) and its dual (D) are not feasible.  
 (b) Find a linear program (P) such that (P) is not feasible and (D) is unbounded.

**Exercise 6 - Self duality (\*\*)**

Consider the LP (P)

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \min q^t z \\
 \text{subject to} \\
 Mz \geq -q \\
 z \geq 0
 \end{array}$$

in which the matrix  $M$  is *skew symmetric*; i.e.  $M = -M^t$ .

- (a) Prove that the dual of this problem is itself.  
 (b) Show that (P) is feasible iff its optimal value is bounded.

**Certificate of optimality****Exercise 7 - Certificate of optimality**

**(a)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max x_1 + x_2 \\
 & \text{subject to} \\
 & x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 4 \\
 & 4x_1 + 2x_2 \geq 12 \\
 & -x_1 + x_2 \geq 1 \\
 & x_1, x_2 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

**(b)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \max x_1 + 7x_2 + 3x_3 \\
 & \text{subject to} \\
 & -x_1 + 3x_2 - 2x_3 \leq 0 \\
 & x_1 - 4x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 0 \\
 & x_2 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 5 \\
 & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Compute the dual of these LP and determine if:

- Are the points  $(2/3, 5/3)$ ,  $(3, 0)$  or  $(8/3, 2/3)$  optimal for (a)?
- Is the point  $(3, 1, 0)$  optimal for (b)?

### 3 *Dualite in Discrete Mathematics*

#### **Exercise 8 - Dominating Set**

A *dominating set* is a subset  $X$  of vertices of  $G$  such that for every  $v$  in  $V$ , then  $v \in X$  or  $v$  is incident to a vertex of  $X$ .

- Formulate the Minimum Dominating Set (MDS) problem as an ILP.
- Prove that the integrality gap cannot be bounded by any constant (i.e. the ratio between the optimal value and the fractional optimal value can be larger than any constant).
- What is the dual of the MDS problem? Interpret it as a “generalization” of an independent set problem.