TUTORIAL XII

1 Codes Achieving the Gilbert-Varshamov Bound

The purpose of this exercise is to use the probabilistic method to show that a random linear code lies on the Gilbert-Varshamov bound, with high probability.

- 1. Given a non-zero vector $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and a uniformly random $k \times n$ matrix \mathbf{G} over \mathbb{F}_q , show that the vector $\mathbf{m}\mathbf{G}$ is uniformly distributed over \mathbb{F}_q^n .
- 2. Let $k = (1 H_q(\delta) \varepsilon)n$, with $\delta = d/n$. Show that there exists a $k \times n$ matrix G such that

for every
$$\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{F}_a^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}, wt(\mathbf{mG}) \geq d$$

where $wt(\mathbf{m})$ is the Hamming weight of the vector \mathbf{m} .

3. Show that G has full rank (i.e., it has dimension at least $k=(1-H_q(\delta)-\varepsilon)n$)

2 Reed-Solomon codes

Consider the Reed-Solomon code over a field \mathbb{F}_q and block length n=q-1 defined as

$$RS[n,k]_q = \{(p(1),p(\alpha),\dots,p(\alpha^{n-1})) \mid p \in \mathbb{F}_q[X] \text{ has degree } \leq k-1\}$$

where α is a generator of the multiplicative group \mathbb{F}_q^* of \mathbb{F}_q

1. Show that for any $k \in [|1; n-1|]$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{ki} = 0$$

2. Prove that

$$RS[n,k]_q \subseteq \left\{ (c_0,\ldots,c_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \forall l \in [|1;n-k|], c(\alpha^l) = 0, \text{ where } c(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i X^i \right\}$$

3. Prove that the following matrix is invertible, and compute its inverse.

$$W(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & \alpha & \dots & \alpha^{n-1} \\ 1 & \alpha^2 & \dots & \alpha^{2n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha^{n-1} & \dots & \alpha^{(n-1)(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

4. Prove that

$$RS[n,k]_q \supseteq \left\{ (c_0,\ldots,c_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \forall l \in [|1;n-k|], c(\alpha^l) = 0, \text{ where } c(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i X^i \right\}$$

3 Secret Sharing

Secret sharing is a cryptographic problem of splitting a *secret* among several participants/players in such a way that the secret cannot be reconstructed unless a sufficient number of *shares* are combined. More formally, an (ℓ, m) -secret sharing scheme takes as input a set of n players P_1, \ldots, P_n and a secret $s \in \mathcal{X}$ to be shared among them. The output is a set of shares s_1, \ldots, s_n where s_i corresponds to P_i . The scheme must satisfy the following properties.

- 1. For all $A \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ with $|A| \ge m$, $\{P_i\}_{i \in A}$ can recover s from $\{s_i\}_{i \in A}$.
- 2. For all $B \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$ with $|B| < \ell$, $\{P_i\}_{i \in B}$ cannot recover s from $\{s_i\}_{i \in B}$. By cannot recover, we mean that s is information theoretically hidden to all parties in B or equivalently, s is equally likely to take on any value in X.

Shamir's $(\ell, \ell+1)$ -secret sharing scheme: Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{F}_q$ with $q \geq n$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq n-1$. Pick a random polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ of degree $\leq \ell$ such that f(0) = s. Choose distinct $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and set $s_i = (f(\alpha_i), \alpha_i)$.

1. Show that the properties 1 and 2 hold for this scheme.

Linear codes and secret sharing: Consider $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{F}_q$ with $q \geq n$. Let C be an $[n+1,k,d]_q$ -code and C^{\perp} be its dual $[n+1,n+1-k,d^{\perp}]_q$ -code. Consider the following secret sharing scheme: pick a random codeword $\mathbf{c} = (c_0,c_1,\ldots,c_n) \in C$ and set $s = c_0$ and $s_i = c_i$ for $i \in [1,n]$.

- 1. Argue that the scheme is correct (that is, any $s \in \mathbb{F}_q$ corresponds to some codeword).
- 2. Show that it is an (ℓ, m) -secret sharing scheme with $\ell \leq d^{\perp} 2$ and $m \geq n d + 2$.

Correspondence to Reed-Solomon?

- 1. Show that $RS[n,k]^{\perp} = RS[n,n-k]$.
- 2. Can you represent Shamir's $(\ell, \ell+1)$ -scheme as a linear code-based scheme with $C=RS[n',k']_q$ for some n',k'?